

SECRET

COR-0208  
Copy 3 of 5

15 January 1959

MEMORANDUM FOR: Security Officer, DPD-DD/P  
SUBJECT : 117L Advisory Committee Report  
REFERENCE : COR-0207, Same Subject

1. I am sending you herewith a draft report to the Air Force by a 117L advisory committee furnished me by Mr. Lundahl and my comment on this document addressed to Mr. Lundahl concerning this report. My memorandum is self-explanatory. I will add that this may reflect a breach of security of exceptional seriousness, in that uncontrolled discussion by members of a technical group like this committee could be disastrously revealing in the technical community and thence to the technical press.

2. This will serve as my formal request to you to set in motion an investigation of this matter. I suggest you start with a discussion with Mr. Lundahl who may be able to relieve our fears. As the next step I would ask that you get in touch with [ ] since the basic draft report is for formal transmittal to [ ]. I would appreciate it if you would keep me advised from time to time of your findings.

3. I am sending a copy of this memorandum to [ ] and it will serve as my request to him to help you in any way possible.

4. I would also ask [ ] to consider what steps we should take, if any, to insure that the dissemination of this report does not further confuse and thus delay the already agonizing review of the SENTRY/CORONA program that has been in progress in the last four months, but which has shown some signs recently of yielding a sensible conclusion. I fear the very least we can expect from this mischievous document is that it will provide more people with a new excuse to try to get into the act and second-guess the five or more groups of people who are trying to run the program.

(sgd) Richard M. Bissell, Jr.  
RICHARD M. BISSELL, JR.  
DD/P

Distribution:

- 1 - Addressee with attached rpt
- 2 - D/PIC
- 3 - DPD-DD/P Dep Director
- 4 - DPS-DD/P Dir of D&P

SECRET

**SECRET****COR-0211**  
**Copy 2**

26 November 58

**MEMORANDUM FOR:** Director of Development and Procurement, DPS/DCI**SUBJECT** : Exploitation Equipment for CORONA Photography

**REFERENCES** : A. Draft letter from ITEK [ ]  
dated 19 November 1958.  
B. Memorandum for SA/PD/DCI, subject "Trip Report - Program Review Conference, WS-117L and CORONA" from Director of Operations, dated 25 November 1958 (COR-0238)

25X1A

1. Reference A. which is attached herewith is a draft letter from ITEK to me which was handed to me informally by [ ] on 20 November. He preferred to deliver it in this fashion still labeled as a draft and unsigned because it is by implication critical of Eastman and [ ] understandably does not wish to have such a document become official and would prefer not to have it remain in our files. I request therefore that it be handled with appropriate discretion by all concerned.

25X1A

25X1A

2. The differences of opinion revealed in [ ] letter apparently came out into the open at the CORONA Program Review Conference on 20 November and is reported by [ ] in paragraph 4 of Reference B. [ ] recommends in paragraphs 4. and 5. of Reference B. that the Eastman and ITEK processors be studied by Headquarters personnel in order to resolve the conflict of opinion.

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

3. When [ ] delivered Reference A. to me, I read it quickly and discussed it with him. In it [ ] explains that the ITEK representative at Rochester has not found it easy to evaluate photography processed on the Eastman processor and that there is, therefore, no objective comparative evaluation of the several types of equipment. Mr. [ ] also states his impression that Eastman has other types of processors in mind of which ITEK has no knowledge. I explained to [ ] that in view of the obvious delicacy of this situation I felt that we should immediately arrange for an evaluation of the equipment Eastman is presently proposing to use, both the Eltron processor and any other that Eastman may have in mind and that the individuals who do this evaluation should also study [ ] claims for the ITEK machine. I explained that I believed

25X1A

25X1A

**SECRET**

- 2 -

a quick preliminary evaluation of this sort was essential before we could either definitely authorize ITEK to rework the second machine of their design or alternatively reach a firm decision to use the Eastman equipment.

25X1A  
[redacted] agreed that such a step was necessary and that we would have to make this judgment employing persons neutral as between the two companies.

25X1A  
4. At an Eastman minicard meeting which I attended coincidentally on 20 November I saw [redacted] and explained to him briefly that I would like to send someone to Rochester in the near future to look at their processor. I believe he understood that I was concerned with the choice between Eastman and ITEK equipment and that we had a decision to make concerning further development work on the latter.

25X1A  
5. This is plainly a rather delicate competitive situation. It will be greatly contrary to our interest to allow this disagreement between ITEK and Eastman to develop any further and I am sure, at least at the level of top management, the two companies wish to preserve good relations. Accordingly I believe it to be essential and rather urgent that we use neutral personnel to evaluate the competing claims and to enable us to reach a prompt decision with respect to any further work to be done on the ITEK machine. This view, which I had arrived at independently in the manner recounted above, is in agreement with [redacted] recommendation in Reference B .

25X1A  
6. The main question I have concerns the choice of an individual or a group of individuals to perform this task. I am inclined to believe, especially since we must move quickly, that we should ask Mr. Lundahl for the best qualified person he can supply and that that individual and [redacted] [redacted] should be our representatives for this purpose. There may well be a need for a meeting including a communications or electronics specialist and a representative of Operations but I believe this pressing matter of the selection of a processor should be handled separately. Accordingly, if the above-outlined course of action seems appropriate to all concerned, I would ask that [redacted] immediately communicate with Mr. Lundahl and then telephone [redacted] to arrange for a visit of our people to Eastman early next week. It is important, I feel, that [redacted] explain frankly to [redacted] what is the purpose of the visit. Either before or immediately after such a visit our representatives should also meet with [redacted] to hear anything further he has to say and to explain their views. I would like to have a

25X1A  
25X1A  
25X1A  
25X1A  
25X1A

**SECRET**

**SECRET**

- 3 -

recommendation from our representatives through [redacted] as soon as possible but not later than 4 December as to the action we should take on the ITEK proposal and to rework their second machine.

25X1A

6. On 20 November I forwarded a request to [redacted] to have the second 461-L processor left in Boston until we could make this determination. If we should decide to have it reworked by ITEK appropriate steps will have to be taken with the Air Force to obtain the use of the equipment.

25X1A

7. I have no comment to make on the quite separate subject of a 70mm printer and understand this business is going forward in channels. I would only comment that we are going to have to find some way to cut the total cost of new photo-interpretation equipment for CORONA.

25X1A

[redacted]  
RICHARD M. BISSELL, JR.  
SA/PD/DCI

SA/PD/DCI:RMB:djm  
1-Addressee w/Ref A.  
2-Dep. Dir. DPS/DCI  
3-Dir of Operations, DPS/DCI  
4-SA/PD/DCI Chrono

**SECRET**